Covalently bound substrate at the regulatory site triggers allosteric enzyme activation
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Abstract

The mechanism by which the enzyme pyruvate decarboxylase from yeast is activated allosterically has been elucidated. A total of seven three-dimensional structures of the enzyme, of enzyme variants or of enzyme complexes from two yeast species (three of them reported here for the first time) provide detailed atomic resolution snapshots along the activation coordinate. The prime event is the covalent binding of the substrate pyruvate to the side chain of cysteine 221, thus forming a thiohemiketal. This reaction causes the shift of a neighbouring amino acid, which eventually leads to the rigidification of two otherwise flexible loops, where one of the loops provides two histidine residues necessary to complete the enzymatically competent active site architecture. The structural data are complemented and supported by kinetic investigations and binding studies and provide a consistent picture of the structural changes, which occur upon enzyme activation.

Introduction

The two closely related pyruvate decarboxylases from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ScPDC) and Kluyveromyces lactis (KlPDC) are well-characterised thiamine diphosphate (ThDP) dependent enzymes, which share 86.3 % identical amino acid residues. They have been studied in great detail by means of kinetic investigations of the native enzymes¹⁻⁴ of
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catalytically active variants and of catalytically almost inactive variants of ScPDC, like D28A or E477Q\textsuperscript{5-12}. They display an allosteric substrate activation behaviour, which they share with PDCs from plant seeds\textsuperscript{13-17}. Consequently, sigmoidally shaped \(v/S\) plots result. At substrate concentrations around \(S_{0.5}\) (the equivalent value to \(K_m\) for enzymes with hyperbolic \(v/S\) plots) a considerable time period (~60 s at 30 °C) elapses before catalysis is accelerated and the steady state is entered\textsuperscript{18}. The observed activation rate constants rise with increasing substrate concentration. In contrast, the PDC from the bacterium Zymomonas mobilis (ZmPDC)\textsuperscript{19} and indolepyruvate decarboxylase from Enterobacter cloacae (EcIPDC)\textsuperscript{20} show Michaelis-Menten type kinetics without any sign of substrate activation.

A number of substrate surrogates have been identified, which are able to activate PDC as well. The effects of pyruvamide (PA) on the activation kinetics have been studied in detail for ScPDC\textsuperscript{1} and KlPDC\textsuperscript{4}. Phosphonate analogues (among them methyl acetylphosphonate, MAP) of pyruvate have been applied to elucidate the catalytic cycle\textsuperscript{21-25} or to trap reaction intermediates in crystal structures\textsuperscript{26-28}.

PDCs are multi-subunit enzymes dependent on the cofactor thiamine diphosphate (ThDP), which is bound mainly via a divalent metal ion, magnesium in most cases, to the protein component. The typical molecular mass of the subunit is 59-61 kDa. The catalytically active state of most PDCs is the tetramer, but higher oligomers (octamers, hexadecamers) have also been described for PDCs from plant seeds\textsuperscript{14,15} or some fungi\textsuperscript{29}. Crystal structures are known for ScPDC\textsuperscript{30} for KlPDC\textsuperscript{31}, for ScPDC activated by PA\textsuperscript{32} and ketomalonate\textsuperscript{33}, respectively, and for two non-activated species, ZmPDC\textsuperscript{34} and EcIPDC\textsuperscript{35}. All three-dimensional structures display a very high similarity on the basis of monomers and dimers (for an early comparison see Muller et al.\textsuperscript{36}). Monomers consist of three domains, each with an open \(\alpha/\beta\) topology, 5-6 stranded \(\beta\)-sheets are surrounded by \(\alpha\)-helices. Domains are connected by long, in some cases flexible loop regions. The cofactor ThDP is bound between two monomers. Each N-terminal (PYR-) domain binds the aminopyrimidine part of the cofactor, each C-terminal (PP-) domain
the corresponding diphosphate part via the divalent metal ion, generating the vital V-conformation of the cofactor ThDP\textsuperscript{37}. The proposed ThDP binding motif is found in all PDCs analysed so far\textsuperscript{38}. Because of this cofactor-binding mode monomers are associated very tightly within one dimer with a large interface area\textsuperscript{34}. Significant differences between PDC crystal structures manifest themselves at the tetramer stage. ScPDC without bound ligands forms an open tetramer\textsuperscript{30}, whereas ScPDC crystallised in the presence of the substrate surrogate PA forms a half-side closed tetramer\textsuperscript{32}. A half-side closed conformation was also found for K\textsubscript{I}PDC in the absence of any ligand\textsuperscript{31}. Hence, the mode of tetramer arrangement does not appear to be the critical feature of the activation mechanism. Instead, it is the flexibility of two loop regions near the catalytic site of PDC, which seems to be different between activated and non-activated enzyme species. These loop regions, comprising residues 104-113 and 288-304 (identical numbering for K\textsubscript{I}PDC and ScPDC, for sequence details see supplemental figure 1), have never been detected in crystal structures of native PDCs due to their inherent disorder\textsuperscript{30,31}. For the side chains of the adjacent residues H114 and H115 very poor electron density was found, indicating high flexibility. However, in the crystal structure of PA activated ScPDC, the loops become at least ordered at one side of the tetramer\textsuperscript{32}. The binding of ligands at the regulatory site of the enzyme affects the efficiency of catalysis by initiating conformational changes of the protein structure. Although the catalytic cycle of PDCs has been analysed in great detail by kinetic studies of enzyme variants\textsuperscript{6,10,11,39-44} along with intermediate analyses\textsuperscript{45,46,47} and studies of the effect of cofactor analogues\textsuperscript{48-54}, information on the mechanistic basis of allosteric regulation is rather scarce. Chemical modification of PDCs with group specific reagents pointed to an important role of cysteine residues\textsuperscript{55}. The number of cysteines and their reactivity was determined by derivation with 4-hydroxy mercuribenzoate and 3-bromopyruvamide, respectively\textsuperscript{56}. Site directed mutagenesis of cysteine residues to alanine or serine demonstrated that residue C221 might be the decisive one for enzyme activation\textsuperscript{7,8,57-59}. Consequently, it was postulated that the region around C221
is the regulatory site of PDC. The question remained, however, how the signal is transferred to the active site. Kinetic studies on a number of variants favour a direct pathway through neighbouring amino acid side chains to the cofactor ThDP\(^9,60\).

First insights into the structural background of substrate activation of PDCs came from small angle X-ray solution scattering (SAXS) studies and X-ray crystal structure analysis. The artificial substrate surrogate PA was used with both methods. The presence of PA in enzyme solutions led to a significant increase of the radius of gyration (R\(_G\)). This suggested a global conformational change of the enzyme molecule in solution\(^61\). In the crystal structure of PA-ScPDC the binding of the activator at the regulatory site caused a rotation of two dimers relative to each other within the tetramer. However, PA was found in half of the regulatory and active sites only and not directly bound to C221, but 10 Å away instead. Consequently, only half of the flexible loop regions became ordered\(^32\).

Here, we present the crystal structures of \(Kl\)PDC with the bound substrate surrogate methyl acetylphosphonate (MAP, for chemical structures, see supplemental figure 2) and of the ScPDC variants D28E and E477Q with bound substrate pyruvate (PYR) together with detailed kinetic studies on the activating effect of both activators and binding studies using the SAXS method. With this knowledge in hand it is now possible to comprehensively describe the mechanism of allosteric activation of PDCs.

**Results and Discussion**

**Kinetic effects of MAP**

As MAP was used as a substrate surrogate in crystallographic studies on \(Kl\)PDC it was important to demonstrate that this analogue does indeed act as an activator of this enzyme. In the absence of any effectors KIPDC displays typical sigmoidal steady state kinetics\(^4\). After incubation of the enzyme with MAP the sigmoidicity of the v/S-plot becomes gradually suppressed with increasing concentrations of this surrogate (Fig. 1A). At an MAP
concentration of 75 mM the enzyme’s steady state kinetics is essentially hyperbolic. Moreover, at pyruvate concentrations below 1 mM the activating effect of MAP is also documented by the higher absolute values of the steady state rates (inset of Fig. 1A). This effect is even more apparent from the corresponding progress curves (Fig. 1B). In the absence of MAP the progress curves of KlPDC show lag phases, which reflect the conversion of the initial inactive enzyme state into the activated enzyme state. Upon pre-incubation of KlPDC with MAP the initial reaction rate ($v_0$) is increased. Eventually, at a MAP concentration of 75 mM the progress curve appears to be a straight line in accordance with the hyperbolic $v/S$ plots obtained under these conditions (Fig. 1A). Initial rates ($v_0$) and steady state rates ($v_{SS}$) can be evaluated from empirical progress curves (for details, see Krieger et al.). The plot of the ratio $v_0/v_{SS}$ versus MAP concentration (Fig. 1C) clearly demonstrates that MAP is able to completely activate KlPDC. This is in contrast to what is observed for the substrate surrogate PA, which accounts for upper values of 0.65 for $v_0/v_{SS}$ in comparable kinetic experiments. For MAP a half saturation value of 37 mM can be extracted from the sigmoidal fit in Fig. 1C. Time-dependent pre-incubation studies revealed that the MAP-triggered activation of KlPDC is a rather slow process as compared to the activation by its native substrate pyruvate (Fig. 1D). In presence of MAP substrate saturation is reached at somewhat higher substrate concentrations (Fig. 1A), pointing to a weak competitive inhibition by this effector. Accordingly, MAP binds non-covalently to the active site of KlPDC as shown below. In summary, the kinetic data presented here demonstrate that MAP is an activator for yeast PDCs, thus justifying its application in crystallographic studies. A kinetic study, which will present a detailed model, is in progress.

**Direct activator binding studies using SAXS**

It had been demonstrated earlier that addition of PA to ScPDC resulted in a significant increase of the radius of gyration ($R_G$), the scattering parameter describing the maximum distance of two points within a particle in solution. These changes in $R_G$ had been interpreted...
as global rearrangement (dimer rotation) within the protein molecule without altering the oligomerisation state of the enzyme (because of the unchanged scattering intensity I(0), which correlates with the molecular mass of the particle). Here we illustrate, to the best of our knowledge for the first time, the activator concentration dependence of R_G for the binding of PA and MAP to KIPDC and of the substrate pyruvate to the variant ScPDC_{E477Q}. All plots exhibit a clear saturation of the ligand binding (Fig. 2). Binding constants can be drawn directly from sigmoid fits of the experimental data. As shown in Fig. 2, MAP and PA have similar binding affinities for KIPDC (20 and 30 mM, respectively) and for ScPDC (data not shown). However, by far the highest affinity is found for the native substrate pyruvate with a value of 6 mM along with the highest increase of R_G. The lowest shift of R_G was found after binding of PA. Notably, the values for the binding affinities of MAP drawn from activation kinetics and SAXS binding studies, respectively, conform closely to each other. This indicates that both methods monitor the same process.

**Structural implications**

**Overall structures**

The crystal structure of KIPDC in complex with MAP was determined to 2.3 Å resolution, those of the ScPDC variants in complex with pyruvate to 1.7 Å (D28A) and 1.4 Å resolution (E477Q), respectively (for data collection, processing and refinement statistics see table 1). The final models comprise four times 562 amino acid residues, each of the subunits harbouring one cofactor molecule ThDP, one Mg^{2+} and 2 molecules of activator (in case of MAP three additional molecules per tetramer could be pasted). The asymmetric units contain the PDC tetramer. The overall folds of the subunits within one dimer (Fig. 3A) are almost identical to that of native species (KIPDC, r.m.s.d. 2.28 Å for 1116 superimposed Cα-atoms, ScPDC_{E477Q}, r.m.s.d. 0.97 Å for 1074 superimposed Cα-atoms, ScPDC_{D28A}, r.m.s.d. 0.96 Å for 1074 superimposed Cα-atoms). Differences were found at the surface of the tetramer, i.e. at the middle domains and the C-terminal α-helices. The MAP molecules are located at the
active sites of KIPDC with distances of 2.22 Å between the Cα-atoms of MAP and the C2 atoms of ThDP within one tetramer, and at the regulatory sites, covalently bound to C221 (bond length 1.82 Å). Pyruvate molecules are found with distances of 1.69 Å (Cα-C2) at the active sites and 2.12 Å at the regulatory sites in ScPDC\textsubscript{D28A}, and with distances of 2.04 Å to C221 of ScPDC\textsubscript{E477Q}, but not in the active sites of the latter variant. The absence of pyruvate in the active site of ScPDC\textsubscript{E477Q} might be associated with the disrupted cofactor molecule (exposed thiazolium ring) in this species. The tetramers of MAP-KPDC, PYR-ScPDC\textsubscript{D28A} and PYR-ScPDC\textsubscript{E477Q} are superimposable without significant differences (r.m.s.d. of 0.5-0.6 Å for 2248 Cα-atoms).

Comparison of the new crystal structures with that of PA activated ScPDC
A comparison of these structures with the structure of PA activated ScPDC shows that the latter exhibits a tilted dimer-dimer arrangement in the tetramer and that the activator is non-covalently bound at the regulatory site, 10 Å away from C221. Furthermore, only half of all activator sites are occupied. The differences between the activation capabilities of PA on one hand, and MAP and pyruvate on the other are corroborated by many other studies on the mechanism of activation. In contrast to MAP and pyruvate, PA is not able to activate PDCs completely. Progress curves of PDC catalysis always show lag phases, even in the presence of high concentrations of PA\textsuperscript{4}.

Loop structuring
The most salient feature common to all activated structures described herein is the well-defined electron density for two loop regions (residues 104-113 and 288-304, respectively, Fig. 3A), which is virtually absent in the crystal structure of native ScPDC\textsuperscript{30} or poorly defined in the structure of native KIPDC\textsuperscript{31} (Fig. 3B). It may therefore be postulated that loop structuring and loop translocation are the decisive events in the activation process. The gained rigidity of both loop regions at all subunits within the tetramer enforces the planar and symmetric dimer arrangement within the tetramer. Both loops are located in the
neighbourhood to the active site (Fig. 3). In the frozen state these loop regions are stabilized internally by a number of H-bonds (Supplemental table 1). Loop 104-113 additionally develops a short α-helix and closes partially over the active site. H114 and H115, the next upstream neighbours of loop 104-113, are part of the active site. Especially for H114 an essential function in PDC catalysis has been proposed from kinetic studies with accordant variants form yeast and bacteria\(^{10,11,39,44}\). Tittmann \textit{et al.}\(^{46}\) postulated a specific role for H114 (together with D28) during release of the reaction product acetaldehyde.

\textit{Regulatory site}

The most striking result of this study is the evidence for a covalent C-S bond at the side chain of C221 in the activated structures, which finally confirms results of studies on the activation mechanism by chemical modification\(^{55,56}\) and mutation of cystein residues\(^{7,8,57-59}\) in ScPDC. The covalent character of this bond is well documented by the crystallographic C-S distance (1.82 Å) as well as by the tetrahedral configuration at the former carbonyl carbon of both MAP (Fig. 4A) and pyruvate (Fig. 4B). Notably, the sp\(^3\) character of the Cα-atom of pyruvate in both activated ScPDC variants is somewhat less developed than that of the equivalent carbon atom in the MAP-activated \(K/PDC\). Together with the longer distances between the Cα-atom of pyruvate and the Cβ-atom of C221, this could be indicative of a dynamic equilibrium of non-covalently and covalently bound pyruvate at the regulatory site. Apart from C221, the following residues are located in vicinity (within 5 Å distance) to the bound activator (for MAP-\(K/PDC\)): H92, R161, H225, G286, A287, L288, H310, S311, Y313, M326 and 5 waters. Thus, the regulatory site is predominantly lined by positively charged side chains, which can interact electrostatically with the phosphonate moiety of MAP or the carboxylate moiety of pyruvate, respectively, as well as with their corresponding thiohemiketals. Surprisingly, H-bonds are only formed from main chain carbonyl oxygen atoms of G286 and A287 to the α-hydroxyl group (the former carbonyl group) of the covalently bound MAP.
**Effects on the active site**

Interestingly, almost all side chains constituting the active site apart from D28, H114 and H115 remain unaffected by the binding of the activators at C221. Furthermore, no significant distortion of the cofactor’s vital V-conformation is seen in the activated state. However, a comparison of the native and the MAP-activated crystal structures of *Kl*PDC revealed that the two amino acids H114 and H115 undergo marked structural reorientations upon activator binding (Fig. 5). The histidine side chains are now directed towards the substrate-binding site, i.e. the distances of their ring nitrogens to the C2 atom of the cofactor ThDP are diminished from 12-15 Å to 6-7 Å. Concomitantly, the main chain of residue D28/A28 is rotated by ~35° and the side chain is orientated towards H115. This reorientation basically restructures the active site into its enzymatically competent architecture - triggered by activator binding at C221.

**Signal transfer from the thiohemiketal at C221 to the active site**

The question of how the signal of activation is transmitted from C221 to the active site is a matter of debate that has not been decided to this very date. What can be drawn about signal transduction from the new structures? Firstly, the formation of the thiohemiketal at C221, which itself is not part of either loop (Fig. 6B), shifts the side chain of this amino acid about 4 Å from its original position in the native structures. Secondly, this shift induces the translocation of A287 by 4 Å (Fig. 6C), thereby rigidifying the loop 288-304 (Fig 6D). Thirdly, the fixated loop 288-304 forms a number of interactions (Supplemental table 1) with the other, originally flexible loop 104-113, thereby becoming structured itself (Fig. 6E). The position of the latter is now identical to those in the three-dimensional structures of *ZmpD* and *EcIPD*, which are not allosterically regulated species. Eventually, the signal is transmitted to H114 and H115, which adopt their new orientation in activated PDC (Fig. 5, 6F). Notably, residues E91 and W412 proposed to be pivotal side chains for the signal transduction in *ScPDC*  does not experience any displacement in the activated structures.
However, it should be noted that the activation process does not necessarily require a distinct set of amino acids to push each other like billiard balls. Rather, activation represents a search process on the protein’s free energy landscape, which becomes slightly distorted upon binding of effectors at C221, developing new interactions along the way until the activated state is reached. Thus, the molecule undergoes this conversion as an entirety, implying that also side chains that are not subject to any significant structural rearrangement might be dynamically important in the process. Therefore, the amino acids shown to be relevant by extensive kinetic and spectroscopic studies keep playing their part.

**Molecular causes of cofactor activation**

Phenomenologically, the activation of ScPDC and KPDC is reflected in lag phases of their respective progress curves as well as in the sigmoidal shape of the steady state kinetics. Both enzyme species are potentially inactive at the start of the reaction. A decade ago, Kern et al. have shown that the first step of activation in ScPDC is the deprotonation at the C2 atom of thiamine diphosphate, which requires a tremendous shift of the pKa value of the C2 proton to become catalytically competent. The structural basis of this kinetic effect remained, however, largely elusive. Evidently, some structural differences between the active sites in the native and the activated state must account for the observed acceleration of the H/D exchange at the cofactor’s C2 atom as triggered by activation in both yeast PDCs. The results of the current crystallographic study allow some preliminary insight. First and foremost, the active site is complete only in the activated state. A vital role has been ascribed to H113 in ZnPDC, as part of a catalytic dyad supporting aldehyde release. Its position is equivalent to that of H114 in ScPDC and KPDC, respectively, which reorients upon activation. Both histidines, H114 and H115, are required for efficient catalysis. Moreover, the H114F/H115F variant, though being almost inactive, shows perfect Michaelis-Menten behaviour, pointing to abolished activation. These observations, however, do not yet explain the accelerated deprotonation at the C2 atom of the cofactor, as neither H114 nor H115 are...
specifically involved in this process. Secondly, the structured loops, particularly the region 104-113, shield the active site against the solvent. Thus, the microenvironment of the cofactor is probably less polar in the activated than in the native state. As a non-polar environment dramatically promotes deprotonation at the C2 atom of the cofactor\textsuperscript{64}, the partial closure of the active site might well be the decisive molecular cause of the cofactor’s activation. Thirdly, solvent shielding might likewise contribute to the stabilization of non-polar reaction intermediates as e.g. the enamine and, additionally, promote the general sequestration of substrates and intermediates. On the other hand, substrate molecules must have access to the active site during catalysis. Therefore, the active site cannot be totally shielded from the solvent. It should be noted that even the rigidified loop structures retain a considerable measure of mobility, which allows substrate access. It remains to be clarified, whether a quasi-periodic closure and re-opening of the active site in the activated state is coupled to particular stages of the catalytic cycle as advocated by Kluger & Smyth\textsuperscript{65} or is even synchronised with a dynamic alternation between covalently and non-covalently associated pyruvate at C221 as proposed by Alvarez \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{3} and defended recently by Schowen\textsuperscript{66}. Finally, the question might be raised, why nature chose thiohemiketal formation, a rare mode of covalent modification, which is, to the best of our knowledge, unique as principle of enzyme regulation. It might be speculated that thiohemiketal formation was favoured as pyruvate lacks an extended hydrophobic moiety that could function as a partner in non-covalent ligand-protein interaction.

**Methods Summary**

The enzymes were purified to homogeneity by using established protocols, \textit{K/PDC} according to Kutter \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{31}, the others according to Killenberg-Jabs \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{6}.

The enzyme complexes were crystallised using the vapour diffusion technique with hanging drops\textsuperscript{31}. For cryoprotection of crystals 1:1 mixtures of reservoir and PEG400 or glycerol were
applied. Diffraction data were collected at synchrotron beamlines. Standard programs were used for data treatment. Structures were solved by molecular replacement. Model building and evaluation was done with the program WinCOOT\textsuperscript{67,68}.

Protein concentration was determined spectrophotometrically.

Catalytic activities were measured via a coupled optical test with NADH/ScADH\textsuperscript{69} on a Jasco V-560 UV/VIS spectrophotometer.

SAXS data were collected at a synchrotron beamline\textsuperscript{70}. The forward scattering intensity I(0) and radius of gyration (R\textsubscript{G}) were calculated with the program GNOM\textsuperscript{71}.

**Methods**

**Protein crystallisation**

K/PDC was dissolved in 20 mM citrate buffer pH 6.1, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM ThDP, 5 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 80 mM MAP. The same solution without MAP, but with 7-23\% (w/v) PEG 2000/PEG 6000 (1:1 ratio) as precipitant was used as reservoir. Well diffracting crystals were obtained after 10 days equilibration at 8 °C at 20 % PEG and 1 mg K/PDC per mL. Stored stock solutions of ScPDC variants were diluted into 12 mM citrate/1,33 mM MES pH 6.35, 1.33 mM DTT, 1.33 mM ThDP, 1.33 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 630 mM pyruvate, 5 µg/mL ScADH, 2 mM NADH+H\textsuperscript{+}. A buffer containing 18 mM citrate/2 mM MES pH 6.35, 2 mM DTT, 2 mM ThDP, 2 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4} together with 7-24\% (w/v) PEG 2000/PEG 6000 (1:1 ratio) was used as reservoir solution. Well diffracting crystals resulted from these batches after 14 days of incubation at on ice at PEG concentration of 22.5 \% (w/v) and 1 mg enzyme per mL.

**Data collection, structure determination and refinement**

For cryoprotection crystals were incubated in a 1:1 mixture of reservoir and an aqueous solution of 32-42 \%(w/v) PEG400 and 5 \%(v/v) glycerol for 1 min (in case of MAP-K/PDC, 10-15 s). Diffraction data were collected at the beamlines X12 (EMBL Outstation Hamburg, c/o Desy), and ID14-2 (ESRF, Grenoble). For indexing, integration and scaling the programs
DENZO und SCALEPACK\textsuperscript{72} were used. Intensities were converted to structure factor amplitudes using the program TRUNCATE\textsuperscript{73}. \textit{K/PDC} (PDB ID code, 2G1I) was used as search model for the MAP-\textit{K/PDC}-complex, and PA-\textit{ScPDC} (PDB ID code, 1QPB) for the PYR-\textit{ScPDC}_{D28A}\textsuperscript{-complex and the latter for the PYR-\textit{ScPDC}_{E477Q}\textsuperscript{-complex. Refinement was realised with the program REFMAC\textsuperscript{573}.

\textit{Protein concentration}

Whenever possible, the protein concentration was determined spectrophotometrically from the UV-spectra at 280 nm (Jasco V-560 UV/VIS spectrophotometer) using a molar extinction coefficient of 60,000 M\textsuperscript{-1}\cdot cm\textsuperscript{-1} for one PDC subunit. In all other cases the Bradford method\textsuperscript{74} was applied.

\textit{Kinetic measurements}

0.05 M MES buffer pH 6.0, 0.15 M ammonium sulphate and an observation wavelength of 355 nm were applied. The corresponding extinction coefficient for NADH at this wavelength was determined to be 4764 M\textsuperscript{-1}\cdot cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

\textit{Small-angle X-ray solution scattering (SAXS) with synchrotron radiation}

Measurements were performed at beamline X33 at the EMBL Hamburg outstation, DESY, Hamburg (camera length 2.7 m, MAR345 image plate detector, vacuum sample cell) at 16 °C and at protein concentrations of ~2.5 mg/mL. The buffer system was the same as used for kinetic measurements, but 2 mM DTT were added. The momentum transfer axis \( s = 4\pi \sin \theta /\lambda \), where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle and \( \lambda = 0.15 \) nm, the X-ray wavelength) was calibrated using collagen or tripalmitin as standards. The scattering patterns were collected for 120 s. MAR image files were extracted during data collection for intensity normalization (transmitted flux, detector response, scaling of the s-axis) by the data reduction program AUTOMAR\textsuperscript{75}. Buffer scattering was subtracted using the program PRIMUS-MAR\textsuperscript{76}. The molecular masses were obtained from the ratio of the forward scattering intensity of the samples and that of the molecular mass standard bovine serum albumin.
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Table 1

Data collection and refinement statistics for MAP-K/PDC, PYR-ScPDCD28A, PYR-ScPDCE477Q

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MAP-K/PDC</th>
<th>PYR-ScPDCD28A</th>
<th>PYR-ScPDCE477Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pdb ID</td>
<td>2VJY</td>
<td>2VK1</td>
<td>2VK8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamline</td>
<td>X12</td>
<td>ID14-2</td>
<td>ID14-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>MARCCD</td>
<td>ADSC Q4R CCD</td>
<td>ADSC Q4R CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.93001</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal detector distance (mm)</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation range per image (°)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of images</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>104.26-2.30</td>
<td>99.0-1.71</td>
<td>95.4-1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highest resolution shell)</td>
<td>(2.34-2.30)</td>
<td>(1.74-1.71)</td>
<td>(1.44-1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell parameters (Å, °)</td>
<td>a=81.76, b=135.77, c=107.26, β=103.88</td>
<td>a=80.88, b=141.31, c=114.41, β=107.19</td>
<td>a=78.98, b=190.51, c=84.14, β=113.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaicity (°)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of reflections</td>
<td>438,157</td>
<td>937,903</td>
<td>1,523,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>100,426</td>
<td>262,151</td>
<td>427,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)</td>
<td>9.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>17.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>22.5 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.9)</td>
<td>99.3 (99.2)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_merge (%)</td>
<td>14.8 (61.5)</td>
<td>6.8 (57.4)</td>
<td>5.3 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_f.i.m. (%)</td>
<td>16.8 (70.6)</td>
<td>8.0 (68.0)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_p.i.m. (%)</td>
<td>8.0 (34.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (36.1)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall B-factor (Wilson plot, Å²)</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MAP-K/PDC</th>
<th>PYR-ScPDCD28A</th>
<th>PYR-ScPDCE477Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of used reflections</td>
<td>99345</td>
<td>260330</td>
<td>425935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of atoms (non-hydrogen)</td>
<td>18588</td>
<td>18994</td>
<td>19242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of protein atoms</td>
<td>17344</td>
<td>17280</td>
<td>17292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of water molecules</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_cryst (%)</td>
<td>15.4 (19.2)</td>
<td>19.1 (24.8)</td>
<td>18.1 (25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_free (%)</td>
<td>22.5 (30.5)</td>
<td>22.0 (27.6)</td>
<td>18.6 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of reflections for R_free</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>1068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (r.m.s.d. from ideality, Å)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (r.m.s.d. from ideality, °)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot (% in most favoured regions)</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot (% in allowed regions)</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure legends

Figure 1

MAP kinetics.

A, Influence of MAP on the shape of the v/S plot (black circles, 0 mM MAP, red squares, 20 mM MAP, green triangles, 40 mM MAP, and blue inverse triangles, 75 mM MAP). The lines represent sigmoid fits for 0 and 20 mM MAP and hyperbolic fits for 40 mM and 75 mM MAP, respectively. Inset, enlarged view at low substrate concentrations.

B, Transients of the K/PDC catalysed reaction at 3 mM pyruvate (conditions as in A). For better comparison, original data are normalised to steady state rate and to the same initial absorbance.

C, dependence of the ratio of initial rate (v₀) and steady state rate (v_SS) on MAP concentration. The rates were obtained from transients (see B for examples)⁴. The line represents a sigmoid fit.

D, Activator concentration dependence of the apparent activation rate constant k_obs (circles, pyruvate, squares, MAP). The k_obs values were obtained from transients⁴, in the presence of MAP a line is drawn for better visualisation only.

Figure 2

Dependence of the scattering parameter radius of gyration (R_G) of PDC on the concentration of the added activator.

In the case of PA and MAP, K/PDC, in the case of pyruvate, ScPDC_E477Q was used (green triangles, PA, red squares, MAP, yellow inverse triangles, pyruvate, lines, sigmoid fit).

Figure 3

The overall structure of PDC tetramers representing MAP-K/PDC and PYR-ScPDC variants in A, and native K/PDC⁴¹ and PA-ScPDC⁴² in B.
The traces of Cα-atoms are shown with different colours for the individual subunits, loops 104-113 and 288-304 are presented as sticks (Figure A only), and the cofactor ThDP in space filling mode.

**Figure 4**

View of the regulatory sites with the activators bound covalently to residue C221 for MAP-K/PDC (A) and PYR-ScPDCE477Q (B).

The electron density is shown at a σ-level of 2.0 in the 2Fo-Fc map, amino acid residues in stick mode. The labelled residues can directly interact with the thiohemiketal. Labels in A correspond also to B.

**Figure 5**

Location of the histidine residues 114 and 115 at the active site of native K/PDC (A) and pyruvate activated ScPDCD28A (B).

Electron density is shown at a σ-level of 2.3 in the 2Fo-Fc map for ThDP and at a σ-level of 1.1 for the others (green, loop 104-113-H114-H115, wood, loop 288-304, yellow, C-terminal residues). All residues are presented in stick mode. The colours represent their B-factors (from low values, blue, to high values, red). Residues labelled with an asterisk belong to the other subunit within the same dimer.

**Figure 6**

Snapshots of the signal transduction pathway within one subunit.

For details, see section signal transfer. The outer edge of the subunit is visualised by a grey line. Only the residues mentioned in the text are shown, loop residues as sticks, all others in space filling mode. The asterisk labels residues of the other subunit of the same dimer. The secondary structure of the loops (red, α-helix, green, β-turn, white β-sheet) is illustrated. too.